Design and Technological Features of the Application of External Thermal Insulation Systems in Large-Panel Buildings

Researcher, **Baxriddinova Z.J.**

phone:+998909988778, E-mail: bahriddinova.zilola@mail.ru

Tashkent University of Architecture and Civil Engineering

Abstract. Large-panel residential buildings assembled from precast reinforced-concrete wall panels and floor slabs are widespread in many post-industrial housing stocks. Their envelopes commonly suffer from high transmission losses, pronounced thermal bridging at panel joints and balconies, and degraded airtightness at joints and window perimeters. External Thermal Insulation Composite Systems (ETICS) remain one of the most scalable retrofit measures because they add continuous external insulation and a protective rendered skin. However, ETICS performance on large-panel façades is susceptible to panel-joint movement, substrate integrity, anchoring reliability, moisture control, and façade fire strategy.

Keywords: large-panel buildings; precast concrete panels; ETICS; external insulation; thermal bridges; facade joints; anchorage; hygrothermal design; retrofit technology; fire safety.

1. Introduction

Industrialized large-panel construction enabled rapid delivery of multi-family housing by assembling standardized precast wall panels, floor slabs, and connection joints. While the structural shells have often remained serviceable for decades, envelope performance and façade durability frequently lag behind modern expectations. Typical problems include: (I) poor thermal transmittance of opaque panels (especially for non-insulated or weakly insulated elements), (II) repeating linear thermal bridges at panel joints, edge beams, and balcony slabs, (III) deteriorated joint sealants and window interfaces driving uncontrolled air leakage, and (IV) moisture-related deterioration at parapets and balconies.

ETICS—rendered external insulation systems installed as a kit consisting of insulation boards, adhesive and/or mechanical anchors, reinforced basecoat, and finishing render—offer a cost-effective means of adding continuous external insulation while upgrading façade appearance and weather resistance. In the European context, ETICS is treated as a system/kit with assessed essential characteristics (mechanical resistance, fire behavior, durability, etc.) under guidance such as ETAG 004 and successor EADs.

However, large-panel façades are not generic substrates. The presence of repeated joints and differential movement lines increases crack risk in brittle render layers. Variable concrete surface quality and historic repairs complicate adhesion. Anchor pull-out performance can vary significantly across panels and near edges. Finally, post-2017 façade fire investigations have strengthened the professional expectation that external wall fire performance (including insulation choice and detailing) must be demonstrably addressed within the retrofit concept.

Figure 1. Design-to-delivery workflow for ETICS on large-panel buildings.

2. Methods

The study uses a design-for-performance methodology combining (a) system-level requirements for ETICS kits, (b) standard thermal and moisture calculation approaches, and (c) a constructability-oriented technology sequence. The goal is to connect façade diagnostics and substrate/joint behavior to system selection and detailing, and then to define quality controls capable of reproducing the intended performance in field conditions.

Illustrative quantified examples are provided for a representative panel wall. The examples are not a substitute for project-specific modeling; instead, they demonstrate the magnitude of effects and the relative dominance of mechanisms (opaque transmittance vs. thermal bridges vs. air leakage) as insulation levels increase.

A technology chain is then defined: façade survey, substrate preparation, joint rehabilitation, installation of insulation and anchors, reinforcement and finishing layers, and a QA/inspection plan including mock-ups and on-site tests (e.g., pull-off and anchor pull-out verification where required by local practice).

3. Results

3.1. Quantified thermal effect of insulation thickness

Figure 3 shows an illustrative relationship between external insulation thickness and the U-value of an opaque wall. The curve captures a key design reality: early thickness increments deliver large U-value reductions, but diminishing returns appear as thickness increases. This matters for cost optimization and for selecting insulation thickness compatible with façade geometry (window reveals, balcony constraints, property lines).

Even when opaque-wall U-values are reduced to a low level, residual building heat loss increasingly depends on thermal bridges and air leakage. Therefore, thickness selection should be coupled with a thermal-bridge plan (balconies, window perimeters, slab edges) and airtightness measures at panel joints.

Figure 2. Illustrative effect of insulation thickness on opaque wall U-value (EPS example).

3.2. Heat-loss redistribution after ETICS (thermal bridges and leakage become dominant)

Figure 4 illustrates a typical redistribution of heat-loss mechanisms after wall insulation. If thermal bridges and uncontrolled air leakage are not mitigated, they may represent a larger fraction of the remaining heat loss even though total losses are lower. This explains why occupants can still experience cold spots near balconies and windows after thick insulation and why retrofit success must be evaluated beyond a single U-value target.

Figure 4. Illustrative heat-loss shares before and after wall insulation (mechanism redistribution).

3.3. Technology sequence and key control points for large-panel façades

A. Joint rehabilitation and airtightness measures (before insulation): Replace failed sealants, restore joint geometry (backer rod, correct sealant depth), and ensure continuity around windows and penetrations. This step directly reduces convective moisture risk and improves the reliability of hygrothermal assumptions.

B. Substrate preparation: Remove weak surface layers, coatings, and contamination; repair spalls and cracks using compatible mortars; normalize surface absorption where necessary. Substrate tensile strength governs adhesive reliability.

C. Insulation installation and fixation: Use an installation method (bonded, mechanically fixed, or hybrid) compatible with wind loads and substrate variability. On panel buildings, hybrid fixation often provides robustness. Anchor layout must avoid joint cavities and maintain sufficient edge distances.

D. Reinforced basecoat and crack-control detailing: Use correct mesh embedment, overlaps, and additional reinforcement around openings and along stress lines. Where panel-joint movement is expected, consider enhanced reinforcement zones or deliberate movement joints in the finish layer aligned with structural expansion joints.

E. Water management details: Provide drip edges, flashings at window heads, correct sill geometry, and robust parapet coping. ETICS reduces rain exposure of the structural wall, but poor detailing can concentrate water and trigger long-term staining, algae growth, or freeze damage.

F. QA and documentation: Implement checklists for adhesive coverage, anchor installation torque, mesh overlap, and curing conditions. Record as-built anchor patterns and critical details for future maintenance. Perform acceptance inspections of high-risk details (openings, balconies, plinth).

3.4. Tables (inputs, design options, and risk controls)

Table 1. Illustrative thermal parameters used in example calculations (project-specific values must be measured/verified).

Layer/parameter	Symbol	Typical value	Notes
Concrete panel thickness	d	120–200 mm	Varies by series/type; may be solid or sandwich
Concrete conductivity	λ	≈1.4–2.0 W/m·K	Depends on density and moisture

EPS conductivity λ ≈0.031–0.040 W/m·K Use declared/design values per product

Mineral wool conductivity λ ≈0.034–0.041 W/m· K. Higher density improves robustness

Internal surface resistance Rsi ≈0.13 m²K/W Standardized boundary condition

External surface resistance Rse ≈0.04 m²K/W Standardized boundary condition

Table 2. ETICS design options and typical suitability for large-panel buildings.

Design choice Option Advantages Risks/constraints

Insulation type EPS: Lightweight; cost-effective; low λ. Fire strategy must be explicit; detailing around openings is critical

Insulation type: Mineral wool Noncombustible; good acoustic performance Heavier; needs careful fixation; moisture management still required

Fixation method: Adhesive-bonded. Lower thermal bridging from anchors; simple. Substrate quality must be high; sensitive to workmanship and weather

Fixation method: Mechanical/hybrid. Robust under wind; tolerant to variable substrates. Anchor testing/layout required; point thermal bridges add up

Joint strategy: Continuous render over joints, Cleaner aesthetic; fewer joints in finish. Crack risk if panel movement is significant

Joint strategy: Movement joints where needed. Controlled cracking; accommodates movement. More detailing, potential water ingress if poorly executed

Table 3. Common failure modes and preventive controls (technology-focused).

Failure mode Root cause (typical) Observable symptoms Preventive controls

Debonding/detachment: Weak concrete surface; insufficient preparation; inadequate adhesive coverage Hollow sound; localized detachment; edge lifting. Surface preparation; pull-off tests; hybrid fixing; weather limits during application

Cracking along panel joints, Differential movement; insufficient reinforcement detailing Repeating crack lines, water staining, mesh print-through Joint mapping; additional reinforcement; deliberate movement joints at structural expansion joints

Moisture accumulation behind insulation, Water ingress at parapets/openings; failed joint seals Blistering; staining, algae, freeze damage. Joint rehabilitation; flashings/drip edges; parapet coping; inspection of high-risk details

Persistent cold spots/mold, unmitigated thermal bridges; continued air leakage Condensation at corners; mold at reveals; occupant complaints. Thermal-bridge analysis; reveal insulation; balcony/slab-edge measures; airtightness improvements

Fire performance noncompliance: Combustible components without a compliant assembly strategy. Regulatory non-acceptance; increased risk. Early fire strategy; compliant system selection; detailing to avoid voids; document approvals/testing route.

4. Discussion

The results support a central conclusion: ETICS retrofits on large-panel buildings are primarily governed by integration of structural movement behavior, moisture, and airtightness at joints, and anchorage reliability. When insulation thickness increases, opaque-wall transmission losses drop rapidly; the remaining performance gap is driven by thermal bridges and uncontrolled air exchange. This shift is not a theoretical nuance—it determines whether occupants perceive real comfort improvement and whether heating demand falls as predicted.

From a technological perspective, the most common field failures originate upstream of the visible finish coat. Poor joint rehabilitation can preserve water entry and convective moisture transport. Substrate preparation errors can undermine adhesion. Insufficient reinforcement detailing at openings and joints concentrates stress, producing characteristic crack patterns aligned with panel geometry. These mechanisms are consistent with the 'ETICS as a system' framing used in European guidance and assessment practice.

5. Conclusions

1) ETICS can deliver large reductions in opaque-wall U-values for large-panel buildings, but insulation thickness optimization must be coupled to thermal-bridge and airtightness measures.

2) Panel joints are the dominant durability driver; successful projects explicitly map joint movement potential and implement reinforcement and/or movement-joint strategies accordingly.

3) Moisture safety depends on joint rehabilitation and water-management detailing (parapets, window heads/sills, balconies) as much as on diffusion-based checks.

4) Anchoring design and verification are not optional on tall or wind-exposed façades; hybrid fixation and on-site verification improve robustness on variable panel substrates.

References

1. Панкратов В.В. «Теплотехнические характеристики наружных конструкций жилых зданий», М.: Стройиздат, 2019.

2. Egamberdieva T. Individuality of Production and Work Organization in Low-Room Residential Construction. Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521). 2024;2(5):289-94.

3. Умаров С.Т. «Energiya samarador uy-joylar qurilishi», Toshkent: Fan, 2020.

4. Egamberdieva, T. (2024). Individuality of Production and Work Organization in Low-Room Residential Construction. Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521), 2(5), 289-294.

5. Tutiyo, E. (2020). Practical application of superplasticizers in the production of concrete and reinforced concrete products, saving cement consumption. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research (AJMR), 9(5), 112-117.